

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/970,624	10/04/2001	Wesley B. Bruce	1263	1396	
27310 75	90 01/06/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PIONEER HI-BRED INTERNATIONAL INC.			МЕНТА, А	MEHTA, ASHWIN D	
7100 N.W. 62N	D AVENUE				
P.O. BOX 1000)		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
JOHNSTON, I	A 50131	50131			
			DATE MAILED: 01/06/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
	09/970,624	BRUCE, WESLEY B.		
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit		
	Ashwin Mehta	1638		
The MAILING DATE of this communication of the co	on appears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence a	ddress	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice un	CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC y statute, cause the application to become a mailing date of this communication, even a 17 March 2003. This action is non-final.	nity (30) days will be considered time DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). If timely filed, may reduce any atters, prosecution as to the	communicat	
Disposition of Claims	nder Ex parte Quayre, 1999 G.	D. 11, 400 O.G. 210.		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			;	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers	·			
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		

Priority under 35 U.S.C. 88 119 and 120

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 04102003.

6) Other:

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. The objection to the specification for containing an embedded hyperlink is withdrawn, in light of the amendment removing the hyperlink.
- 3. The objection to the specification for the presence of blank lines is withdrawn, in light of the amendment replacing the lines with an ATCC accession number.
- 4. The objection to the specification for failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.821-1.825 is withdrawn, in light of the amendment inserting a sequence identifier in page 64.
- 5. The objection to claims 2, 4, and 8 is withdrawn, in light of the claim amendments.
- 6. The rejection of claims 1-9 under 35 U.S.C. 101 is withdrawn, in light of the declaration received March 20, 2003, under 37 CFR 1.132.
- 7. The rejection of claims 1-9 under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, is withdrawn in light of the claim amendments.

Art Unit: 1638

- 8. The rejection of claims 1-9 under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st paragraph, for lack of written descriptive support, is withdrawn in light of the claim amendments.
- 9. The rejections of claims 1-9 under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) and/or 35 U.S.C. 102(b) and/or 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are withdrawn, in light of the claim amendments.

Claim Objections

10. Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities: the article, --the--, should be inserted in line 4 before "polynucleotide". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

11. Claims 1-9 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention, for the reasons of record stated in the Office action mailed October 17, 2002. Applicant traverses the rejection in the paper received March 20, 2003. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but were not found fully persuasive.

Applicant's arguments do not address the aspect of the rejection concerning the production of the claimed transgenic plants, and the claimed method. As discussed in the Office action mailed October 17, 2002, the specification does not teach that any transgenic plants were produced. The 132 declaration also does not indicate that transgenic plants, transformed with the

Art Unit: 1638

claimed nucleic acid, were produced, and showed maximized plant utilization of available nitrogen, reduced agricultural nitrogen inputs, improved control of lateral root proliferation useful in applications in soil remediation, increased root biomass beneficial in production of specific structural carbohydrates, and stimulated root proliferation of cuttings for plant propagation. Claim 1 still encompasses nucleic acids that encode SEQ ID NO: 2. While the claim indicates that the nucleic acid encodes a root transcriptional factor, Huer et al. teach that SEQ ID NO: 2 is expressed in pollen, and not in roots. Further, Lorz et al. (WO 01/12798, cited by Applicant in the IDS submitted April 7, 2003) teach transiently transformed tobacco pollen cells transformed with the promoter of the gene that encodes SEQ ID NO: 2, operatively linked to the luciferase coding sequence. Luciferase expression was detected in mature pollen (Example 5, pages 52-52; Figures 5 and 6). The amino acid sequences of SEQ ID NO: 2 of Lorz et al. and the instant application are identical. Given the teachings of Huer et al. and Lorz et al., it is not clear what effect transgenic expression of the nucleic acids of instant claim 1 would have in transgenic plants.

Also as discussed previously, the specification provides no teaching at all as to how one would use transgenic plants in which transgenic expression of the claimed nucleic acid reduced the level of a nitrate-responsive root transcriptional factor, or that modulated the level of a nitrate-responsive root transcriptional factor in any other manner. Applicant's arguments also failed to address the issue that the specification does not teach how one skilled in the art is to use non-bacterial or non-plant host cells.

Art Unit: 1638

Summary

- 12. Claims 1-9 remain rejected.
- 13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ashwin Mehta, whose telephone number is 703-306-4540. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Thursdays and alternate Fridays from 8:00 A.M to 5:30 P.M. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amy Nelson, can be reached at 703-306-3218. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 and 703-872-9306 for regular

Art Unit: 1638

Page 6

communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

December 29, 2003

Ashwin D. Mehta, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1638